UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,155	12/15/2003	Ronald D. Hatfield	0015.02	3661	
	7590 03/29/201 FFICE OF TECHNOI		EXAMINER		
	NATIONAL CTR FOR AGRICULTURAL UTILIZATION RESEARCH			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
1815 N. UNIVERSITY STREET PEORIA, IL 61604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Community	10/736,155	HATFIELD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ALTON N. PRYOR	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 N</u>	ovember 2009						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,	,—						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
·	ng in the application						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10,11,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10,11,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	r clocker rogaliomonk.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/736,155 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 11/17/09, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Johann R. Richter/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1,2,5,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hatfield, R.,(Characterization of red clover polyphenol oxidase, Plant Biology 2002 Program, Session 67, page 164). Hatfield teaches a method for preserving forages (fodders) for animal production systems. Red clover silage retains over 80% of its protein (the amount of o-diphenol and polyphenol oxidase reduces the degree of proteolysis by at least 20%). Reduced proteolysis in red clover is connected to the presence of soluble polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and soluble polyphenols such as caffeic chlorogenic acid and phasic acid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,4,7,8,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatfield as applied in the 102(b) over claims 1,2,5,13 and 14 above in view of Krutz (GB 2019731; 11/7/79). Hatfield teaches all that is recited in claims 3,4,7,8,10 and 11 except for 1) the instant application rates of polyphenol oxidase and o-diphenol compound, 2) the contacting a polyphenol oxidase transformed crop to be ensiled with an o-diphenol compound and 3) the maceration of the crop to be ensiled. Although Hatfield does not explicitly teach polyphenol oxidase transformed crop, Hatfield teaches the application of polyphenol oxidase to crops to be ensiled. It is obvious that the application of polyphenol oxidase onto crops to be ensiled yields

Application/Control Number: 10/736,155 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

polyphenol oxidase transformed crops. With respect to maceration of forage, Krutz teaches an apparatus for macerating agriculture products to enhance drying (abstract) in a short time period. It would have been obvious to an artisan in the field to have modified the invention of Hatfield to include maceration. The artisan would have been motivated to do this to shorten the drying period of the forage which reduces the possibility of damage to the crop. See abstract, column 1. With respect to application rate of polyphenol oxidase and o-diphenol compound, it is well within the skill of the artisan to determine the most efficient application to ensure the production of healthy forage.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALTON N. PRYOR whose telephone number is (571)272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,155 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alton N. Pryor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616